

CITY OF PROVIDENCE

Jorge O. Elorza, Mayor

December 14, 2022

Honorable John J. Igliozzi Providence City Hall 25 Dorrance Street Providence, RI 02903

Dear Council President Igliozzi,

I am pleased to present this 2021-2022 Annual Report of the Providence Historic District Commission, covering the period from October 1, 2021 through September 30, 20212 The Annual Report is mandated by the Certified Local Government Program administered by the Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission and the National Park Service.

This report represents the Commission's activities in Providence's nine local historic districts, of which there are more than 2,600 properties listed. During 2021-2022, the Planning Department received 157 applications for Certificates of Appropriateness. The Commission reviewed 55 applications, with 107 applications reviewed and approved by the Commission's professional staff-person, demonstrating the Commission's timely and efficient review process.

The Department of Planning and Development proudly supports the Historic District Commission's role in protecting Providence's historic resources, while ensuring that historic preservation plays a significant role in the City planning process.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Nickerson, Director

cc:

Providence City Council

City Clerk

BN/jm

and the state of t			CL	G Contact	Information						
Reporting Period: Octob	oer 1, 2021 - Septer	mber 30, 202	2		Town/City	of:	Providence			***	
Name of Contact Person:	Jason Martin, Pr	eservation Pl	lanner		Address:		of Planning & D	evelopment	, 444 Westminster St, Ste	3A, Providence, RI 02903	
Phone Number: 401.680	.8517			<u></u>	Email Addre	ess:	Jmartin@p	rovidence	erl.gov		
CRITERION #1: Local	Governments	must enfo	rce lo	al legislat	ion for the c	lesign	ation and	protect	ion of historic pr	operties.	
1. Was the HDC's enabling l	egislation ame	nded?		Yes	No No		If YES, A	TTACH :	a copy of the am	endment.	
2. Were procedural or designmended?	n gu <mark>id</mark> elines de	eveloped o	or	Oyes	Yes No If YES, ATTACH a copy of new or amended guidelines						
3. What are the current desuse by the HDC? (Name docapplicable.)	er-Cooke,	Broadway, College Hill, North Elmwood, PLD - Residential, e, South Elmwood & Stimson Avenue; S&G PLD - Industrial & Buildings District; applicable.) PHDC Rules & Regulations									
		Тур	e of P	roject	# Appro	ved	# Den	ied	# Pending	# Appealed	
4. Use this chart to summar	ize the types		Alteration		''						
of applications reviewed th	• • • • •		emolit				See)	Attached		
			Consti								
			elocati				1			<u> </u>	
5. Were any of the projects approval through expiration				automatio	c O Ye	s (● No				
6. Were any petitions appro									, ATTACH an exp		
Secretary of the Interior's S	tandards or otl	ner approv	ed lo	al				the case was reviewed and why an			
standards?					Ye.	S Y	○ No	IF VI		was permitted	
7. Were any districts enlarg	ed?				1				If YES, ATTACH a copy of the revised district map and indicate how many		
Traine any aistitute citiang					Ye:	s (● No	, 415	historic propert	•	
8. Were any new Historic Di	stricts added?				Ye	s (● No	<u>If YES, ATTACH</u> a copy of the district map(s).			
9. Were any new properties	designated?				O Yes	s () No	If YES, ATTACH a list of the propert and address			
CRITERION #2: Lo	cal Governme	nts must h	ave e	stablished	an adequat	e and	qualified I	listoric	District Commiss	sion.	
1. Commission Membership a preservation-related field		ion memb	ers, d	ates of ap	pointment a	nd ex	piration, a	nd, as a	applicable, their	qualifications in	
Name	Date Appoin	ited Da	te Ter	m Ends	Professional	Disci	oline	E-ma	il Address		
Michael Marino, Regular Member, Chairma	9/2020		9/20	23	L,	awyer			mmarino@grs	sm.com	
Edward Sanderson, Regular Member, Vice Chairma	9/2022		9/20	25	Prese	rvation	nist		edsanderson625@	gmail.com	
Clark Schoettle, Regular Member, Dep. Vice Chairma	9/2020		9/20	23	Prese	rvation	nist		c.schoettle@	cox.net	
Cornelis de Boer, AIA, Regular Membe	r 9/2020		9/20	23	· Ar	chitect			haynes.deboer@	verizon.net	
Ramiro Encizo, Alternate Membe	r 9/2020		9/20	23	R	ealtor		ren	cizo@residentialp	properties.com	
Glen Fontecchio, R.A., Regular Membe	9/2021		9/20	24	Ar	chitect			glen@gfonteco	chio.com	
Neal Kaplan, Regular Membe	9/2022		9/20	25	Genera	Contr	actor		nealestate@d	cox.net	
Catherine Lund, D.V.M., Regular Membe	r 9/2021		9/20	24	Vete	erinaria	ın		info@city-kitt	ly.com	
Tina Regan, Regular Member	9/2022		9/20	25	Prese	rvation	nist		rainymorn@m	sn.com	
Victoria Wilson-Barnes, Regular Membe	9/2021		9/20	24	Ar	chitect			vicinprov@a	ol.com	
				-							

2. Meetings Held and Attendance: indicate total number of meetings held and complete attendance chart for each commissioner.													
Check box for all months in		П									П		
which a meeting was held:					<u> </u>		<u> </u>	L			<u> </u>		
Commissioner Name	Oct. 2021	Nov. 2021	Dec. 2021	Jan. 2022	Feb. 2022	Mar. 2022	Apr. 2022	May 2022	. Jun. 2022	Jul. 2022	Aug. 2022	Sept. 2022	
	2021	2021	2021	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	
											<u> </u>		
See attached													
			1										
,													
Notes:	·					•	•	•					
110000													
3. State law requires that HD	C meeting	minute	es are file	d with the	e Secreta	rv of Stat	e's Office	within 3	5 days of	fa 🦱			
meeting. Are all meeting min	_					-			_		Yes () No	
4. Total number of vacancies	during the	e year:	2		5. Wa	as each va	acancy fil	led withi	n 90 days	67	Yes (No	
4. Were vacancies filled by p	rofessiona	ls who	meet the	Professio	onal Qual	ification S	Standards	s in 36 CF	R 61?	0	Yes (ON C	
5. If vacancies were not filled	l within 90	Τν	vo City Co	uncil pos	itions are	vacant ar	nd have n	ot been fi	lled.				
days or were not filled by pro		; ``	,										
meeting the Professional Qua Standards, please provide an		on											
here:	ехріанац	011											
6. Describe any relevant trai	nings.		ie to the c	naolna e	ffacts of C	Covid-19	minimal ir	oformation	nai maatii	nge trainir	nae conf	arancas	
conferences, workshops, or I		or	workshop	s related	to historic	c preserva	ation were	attended	d by mem	bers and	staff. Sor	ne have	
sponsored trainings/events												l the	
commission members or state		³¹ n											
the name of the event and the of the member(s) who attended		' m	eetings, tr	ainings, d	conference	es, or wor	kshops ir	it he upco	ming yea	ar.			
If you have suggestions for to													
future HDC training to be off RIHPHC, please list/describe		16											
here as well.	3466631101					•							
CDITEDION #2.1	deal Gára	nmont	e muet me	alntain a	evetem fe	or the cur	vev and t	nvontori	of histor	ic proper	loc		
1. Has any survey work been	********	Innent	a iliust illi	anitalii d			many pr				uco _{ny} sy sy	egyeletti (tj.fr	
completed?		() Yes	<u> (•)</u> 1	į.	eyed?		,					
3. Has the RIHPHC had an op	portunity				E		rvey wor	k recorde	ed	ys of a te? Yes O			
to participate in the work?			✓ Yes		No on R	IHPHC fo	rms?			ings, trainings, conference and staff. Some has oric Conference and the seeming return of es for informational ar.			
5. Did the RIHPHC receive duplicate forms, maps, and photographs within sixty days of completion?									\bigcap_{No}				

CRITERION #4: Local Governments n	ıust satisfactoril	ly perform th Preserva	e responsibilities delegated to them u tion Act.	ınder the Natio	ial Historic		
1. Did you evaluate the National Register eligibility of any properties?	• Yes	O No	2. Did you prepare any National Register forms?	O Yes	O No		
3. Did you review and comment on any	National Registe	er nominatio	ns sent to you by the RIHPHC?	O yes	O No		
4. List any grants-in-aid projects completed or currently in progress. Briefly describe the status:	Diversifying the administered by	Guide to Pro the sub-grar	onkanut Hill Park Survey and National R vidence Architecture. Both grants are "; ntees, Neutaconkanut Hill Conservancy tively. 21-CLG-5 has been finalized. 21	pass-throughs" a and the Provide	nd being nce		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	entre of Taylor Committee State Stat		rticipation in local historic preservation it is the contract of the section of the section of the contract of	on programs, inc	luding the		
Are all records including meeting minutes publicly accessible?	• Yes	O _{No}	2. Are notices of public meetings pul or posted in advance?	[{●}].	Yes O No		
Comments on National Register Nominations are scheduled on regular public meeting agendas and noticed on the Secretary of State's and the City's websites, and posted in the City Clerk's office and in the lobby of 444 Westminster Street. National Register nominations:							
		NA	ARRATIVE:				
1. Provide a brief narrative of the HDC's	notable activiti	es or accomp	olishments for FFY 2022.				
 This CLG years the Commission and its The Commission resumed in-person medbeing present (Member Regan was granted continues to do so). Applicants and the puraterials for agenda items are available of Certified Local Government funding: 21-C Diversifying the Guide to Providence Archit Neutaconkanut Hill Conservancy and the interval of the Cranston Street Armory. As the Direct Technical Review Committee. In the past CLG year the City's Preserval Agreement with the RI Historical Preserval approved. The City's Preservation Planner has coort Commission, particularly with the Parks Definition. The Planning Department continues to well of the Providence Redevelopment Agency we have the Westminster Street), the Ralph Street Schhistoric district (PLD-ICBD). These four local historic district in 2023. 	etings beginning of a waiver by the blic have the opt in the Commission of the Commi	in June 2021 e RI Governo tion to be phy on's website. Neutaconkar ants are "passervation Sociative, the Pre conducted 97 e Commission projects at Corily in Roger rotections to vector and the Te	. Meetings are a "hybrid" with the Comris' Commission on Disabilities to attend sically present or to participate via Zoor There is also an archive of past meeting but Hill Park Survey and National Regis sthroughs" and being administered by the sty, respectively. 21-CLG-5 has been fill on of Capital Asset Management & Main servation Planner sits on the Armory Strength of the Armory Strength of the Armory Strength of the Armory Strength of the Planner sits on the Remain of the National Regulars Park, listed on the National Regulars Park, listed on the National Regulars of the Park Strength of the Remain of the Strength of the Stren	mission Members I meetings remote In. Staff reports a g's materials. Iter Evaluation & Iter Evaluation	dely, and and related 21-CLG-6 6, 6 is ongoing. adaptive reuse and the and Heritage Places. the edral (1860 the local		

	Assurances								
I hereby certify:		,							
I. All Historic District Commission meetings have been announced and meet the requirements of the Open Meetings Law, Title 42, Chapter 46, of the General Laws of the State of Rhode Island.									
II. Historic District Commission Members are in compliance with the Conflict of Interest Law, Title 36, Chapter 14, of the General Laws of the State of Rhode Island.									
III. The Historic District Commission meets the requirements for certification in accordance with the CLG Memorandum of Agreement between the Town/City and the Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission.									
Signature of Historic District Chairman	Lae Jane	Date 12 5 22							
Printed/typed name	Michael S. Marino, Chairman								
Signature of Chief Elected Official		Date 12/6/22							
Printed/typed name	Horr. Jorge Q. Elorza, Mayor								

ATTACHMENTS CHECKLIST

Check to confirm required materials are provided <u>electronically</u> with this report:

$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	Attachment A: Sample approval letter to an applicant.
	Attachment B: Resumes for any new commission members.
V	Any additional attachments required per answers on page 1 of this report; e.g. maps of amended districts, lists of newly designated properties, etc.

PROJECT SUMMARIES - OCTOBER 1, 2021 through SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

Breakdown by District

District	Totals	Approved	Denied	Pending	Withdrawn	Appealed
Armory	9	9	0	0	0	0
Armory (expansion)	32	29	0	2	1.	0
Broadway	5	5	0	0	0	0
College Hill	76	73	0	3	0	0
North Elmwood	10	9	1	0	0 .	0
Power-Cooke	9	9	0	0	0	0
PLD ¹ - ICBD	1	1	0	0	0	0
PLD - Residential	2	2	0	0	0	0
South Elmwood	11	11	.0	0	. 0	0
Stimson Avenue	2	2	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	157	150	1	5	1	0

Total applications compared from October, 1996-September, 2021:

Total applications	compared from Ot		
	<u>Totals</u>	PHDC Reviewed	Staff Reviewed
1996-1997	220	64 (0) ² [29%] ³	156 (0) [71%]
1997-1998	186	53 (0) [28%]	133 (0) [72%]
1998-1999	176	64 (0) [36%]	112 (0) [64%]
1999-2000	203	67 (9) [33%]	145 (9) [67%]
2000-2001	191	65 (0) [34%]	126 (0) [66%]
2001-2002	213	58 (0) [27%]	155 (0) [73%]
2002-2003	181	51 (8) [24%]	138 (8) [76%]
2003-2004	183	54 (5) [27%]	133 (5) [73%]
2004-2005	204	50 (6) [27%]	148 (6) [73%]
2005-2006	186	37 (7) [20%]	142 (7) [80%]
2006-2007	197	38 (4) [20%]	153 (4) [80%]
2007-2008	163	28 (3) [20%]	132 (3) [80%]
2008-2009	135	26 (2) [19%]	109 (2) [81%]
2009-2010	168	31 (7) [18%]	137 (7) [82%]
2010-2011	148	25 (4) [17%]	123 (4) [83%]
2011-2012	158	41 (1) [26%]	118 (1) [74%]
2012-2013	149	37 (0) [25%]	112 (0) [75%]
2013-2014	153	31 (2) [20%]	124 (2) [80%]
2014-2015	145	29 (2) [20%]	118 (2) [80%]
2015-2016	143	28 (3) [20%]	115 (3) [80%]
2016-2017	159	43 (3) [27%]	116 (3) [73%]
2017-2018	152	36 (0) [24%]	117 (0) [76%]
2018-2019	164	38 (1) [23%]	128 (1) [77%]
2019-2020	144	40 (1) [28%]	104 (1) [72%]
2020-2021	152	45 [30%]	107 [70%]
2021-2022	157	55 [32%]	107 [68%]
26 year total	4430	1145 [25%]	3308 [75%]
26 year average	170	44 [25%]	127 [75%]

¹ The Providence Landmark District (PLD) was created by Ordinance in Dec. 2014. The PLD is an expansion of the non-contiguous district concept created by the establishment of the Industrial & Commercial Buildings District in 2002. The PLD is divided into two classes: Residential and Industrial & Commercial Buildings.

² Indicates number of applications which have multiple components that are reviewed by the PHDC and Staff separately [i.e.: New Construction (PHDC) & Site Improvements (Staff)]. There were no such

applications this period.

Represents percentage of total applications reviewed by PHDC or Staff.

PROJECT SUMMARIES - OCTOBER 1, 2021 through SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

Summary of Projects*

Total Applications Reviewed:

158

Applications Reviewed by HDC:

107

(Alterations, Demolition, New

Construction, Relocation)

TO

Applications Reviewed by Staff:

55

(Repairs, Replacements in Kind, Restorations, Minor Alterations)

Breakdown by Project Type

Project Type	Totals	Approved	Denied	Pending ¹	Withdrawn	Appealed
In-House ²	107	107	.0	0	0	0
Alterations	49	42	1	5	1	0
Demolitions	AMERICO MANA	0	0	0	0	0
New Construction	ne as 6 minu	3	0	3	0	0
Relocations	SEASE O AREA	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS*	162	152	1	8	1	0

As part of the Memorandum of Agreement with the RIHPHC, the staff reviewed approximately 97 Federally subsidized projects in the City.

^{*}Some applications might cover two types (i.e.: repairs & sign). This would account for any discrepancy in the number of applications by type and number of total applications reviewed. There are no such discrepancies this period. There were five projects of this type during this reporting period.

¹Pending includes applications that are either incomplete or that are complete and the review is ongoing by either the Commission or Staff.

² In-House projects include repairs, replacement in kind, awnings, signs, storm windows, fences and gates, site improvements, mechanical equipment, shutters and blinds, roofs and gutter systems, and other minor alterations. All in-house projects are reviewed by Staff; no public meeting is required.

Total	7	6	12	∞	တ	0	∞	/-	တ	10	12
Sep 10, Sep	1	0	~	0	←	~	~	Acres .	4	****	~
Aug	5	~	Ame	hun	0	~	0	~	~	0	—
Jul 25-111	} } -	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	0	~	~
JUL	-	$\overline{}$	~	~	~	$\overline{}$	0	Υ	0	4	~
May 23-May	0	₩	~	~	₩-	~	~	0	~	~	~
Apr 25-Apr	0	~	~	~	~	~	~	_	~	~	~
Mar 28-Mar	0	~	-	~	~	√	~	•	~	~	·
Feb 28-Feb	0	$\overline{}$	~	£	0	-	0		0	0	~
Jan 31-Jan	1	hora	_	0	~	0	~	~	~	~	~
Dec 20-Dec	_	-	~	0	₩.	0	₩	~	₩	~	~
			~								
0ct	τ	0	~	4	0	*****	A.v.	~	· West	₩.	~
	G.	SS	CdB	H	X	ES	ರ	MM	VwB	М П	ЛV



Providence Historic District Commission

Jorge O. Elorza Mayor

May 11, 2022

APPLICANT
Jeff Hirsch
6 Monterey Lane
Framingham, MA 01701

OWNERS
Karen and Jeff Hirsch
6 Monterey Lane
Framingham, MA 01701

RESOLUTION 22-11 Application 21.138

WHEREAS, the applicant, Jeff Hirsch, applied to the Providence Historic District Commission for a Certificate of Appropriateness for New Construction at 67 Williams Street, Plat 16, Lot 670, and,

WHEREAS, the Commission held a properly noticed Regular Meeting on the matter on March 28, 2022, with the following members present: Marino, Sanderson, Schoettle, deBoer, Regan, Lund, Kaplan, Wilson-Barnes and Encizo; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Jeff Hirsch, applicant/owner, Mr. John Pears and Mr. Mark Rapp, architects, and Mr. John Garrahy, Esq., appeared before the Commission for the scheduled item; and

WHEREAS, the Commission members individually viewed the site which is the subject of the application; and,

WHEREAS, based upon the evidence presented, the Commission made the following findings of fact:

- 1. 67 Williams Street is currently a vacant lot within the College Hill local historic district.
- 2. The application for New Construction is considered complete.
- 3. The work as proposed consists of New Construction and includes the construction of a single-family residence.
- 4. The alterations are congruous with the structure, its appurtenances, and the surrounding historic district.

- 5. The proposed work as amended is in accord with PHDC Standard 8 as follows: the proposed construction is architecturally and historically compatible with the property and district having an appropriate size, scale and form that will not have an adverse effect on the property or district.
- 6. The Commission accepts and agrees with the findings in the Staff Report.

WHEREAS, based upon the above findings of fact, the Commission determined that the New Construction as submitted by the Applicant is appropriate. Upon motion made by Mr. Sanderson, seconded by Dr. Lund, the Commission voted unanimously (6 to 0) to grant approval of the proposal as submitted as the proposed construction is architecturally and historically compatible with the property and district having an appropriate size, scale and form that will not have an adverse effect on the property or district, citing Standard 8, citing and agreeing to the recommendations in the staff report, with a sub-committee to review construction details as they become available.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the application for New Construction as described in the above findings of fact **IS APPROVED**. Parties wishing to appeal a decision made by the PHDC have 20 days from the date of the resolution to file an appeal with the Zoning Board of Review.

Michael S. Marino

Chair