

December 7, 2023

Honorable Rachel Miller Providence City Hall 25 Dorrance Street Providence, RI 02903

Dear Council President Miller,

I am pleased to present this 2022-2023 Annual Report of the Providence Historic District Commission, covering the period from October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023. The Annual Report is mandated by the Certified Local Government Program administered by the Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission and the National Park Service.

This report represents the Commission's activities in Providence's eight local historic districts, of which there are more than 2,600 properties listed. During 2021-2022, the Planning Department received 149 applications for Certificates of Appropriateness. The Commission reviewed 49 applications, with 100 applications reviewed and approved by the Commission's professional staff-person, demonstrating the Commission's timely and efficient review process. 6.8% of Providence's land area falls within a local historic district. The PLD by itself covers 2.9% of the City. From late September 2013 until today, property-owners have spent \$324,183,413 on building permit work in Providence's local historic districts. In the same span, property-owners have spent \$2,462,367,830 in the City as a whole. Local historic districts have accounted for 13% of spending in 7% of the land area during this time.

The Department of Planning and Development proudly supports the Historic District Commission's role in protecting Providence's historic resources, while ensuring that historic preservation plays a significant role in the City planning process.

Sincerely,

Joseph I. Mulligan III, Director

cc: Providence City Council

City Clerk

JM/jm

| | | c | LG Contact | tiin | formation | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|------------|--|------------|----------|-------------|---|---|-------------------|
| Reporting Period: October | Town/City of: Providence | | | | | | | | | |
| Name of Contact Person: | | ddress: | | | | | | | | |
| Phone Number: 401,680,80 | *************************************** | | | | mail Addre | | Jmarlin@pr | | | |
| CRITERION #1: Local Governments must enforce local legislation for the designation and protection of historic properties. | | | | | | | | | operties. | |
| 1. Was the HDC's enabling le | gislation amend | ed? | Ye: | s | ● No | | If YES, AT | TACH 8 | a copy of the am | endment. |
| 2. Were procedural or design amended? | guidelines deve | eloped or | O Yes | s | ● No | if YE | S, ATTACH | а сору | of new or amen | ded guidelines. |
| 3. What are the current designse by the HDC? (Name docuapplicable.) | | Pov | ver-Cooke, | , Sc | outh Elmwo | sod & s | Stimson Av | enue; | od, PLD - Resider S&G PLD - Indus ules & Regulatior | trial & |
| | | Type of | Project | | # Appro | ved | # Den | ed | # Pending | # Appealed |
| 4. Use this chart to summariz | e the types | Altera | | | | | | | | |
| of applications reviewed this | | Demol | ,-, | | | | See | | Attached | |
| | | New Cons Reloca | | | | | <u></u> | | | |
| 5. Were any of the projects li | | t above give | n automat | ic | | 1 | | | | <u> </u> |
| approval through expiration | | | | | Ye: | s \ | No No | | | |
| 6. Were any petitions approv Secretary of the Interior's Sta standards? | | | | | O Yes | s (| ● No | If YES, ATTACH an explanation of how the case was reviewed and why a exception was permitted | | |
| 7. Were any districts enlarged | 4 ? | | | | Oyes | ş (| ● No | If YES, ATTACH a copy of the revised district map and indicate how many historic properties were added. | | |
| 8. Were any new Historic Dist | tricts added? | | | | O Yes | , (| ● No | <u>If YES, ATTACH</u> a copy of the district map(s). | | |
| 9. Were any new properties o | designated? | | | | O Yes | ş (| ON C | If YES, ATT'ACH a list of the properties and addresses | | |
| CRITERION #2: Loc | al Governments | must have | establishe | d a | n adequat | e and | qualified H | listoric | District Commis | slon. |
| 1. Commission Membership: a preservation-related field: | List Commission | n members, | dates of a | ppo | ointment a | nd ex | piration, a | nd, as a | applicable, their | qualifications in |
| Name | Date Appointe | d Date To | erm Ends | Professional Discipline E-mail Address | | | | | | |
| Michael Merino, Regular Member, Chairman | 9/2020 | 9/2 | 2023 | | L | awyer | | | mmarino@gre | sm.com |
| Edylard Sandorson, Regular Member, Vice Chairman | 9/2022 | 9/; | 2025 | | Prese | rvatio | nist | edsanderson625@gmall.com | | |
| Clark Schoettle, Regular Member, Dep. Vico Chairman | 9/2020 | 9/2 | 2023 | | Prese | rvallor | nlst | | c.schoettle@ | cox.net |
| Cornelis de Boer, AlA, Regular Member | 9/2020 | 9/; | 2023 | | Ar | chitect | | | haynes,deboer@ | verizon,net |
| Ramiro Encizo, Alternate Member | 9/2020 | 9/2 | 2023 | | R | ealtor | | rer | ncizo@residential; | properties.com |
| Glen Fontecchlo, R.A., Regular Member 9/2021 9/2024 | | | | | Ar | chitect | | | glen@gfonteco | chlo,com |
| Neal Kaplan, Regular Member 9/2022 9/2025 | | | | | Genera | l Contr | actor | | nealestate@d | cox.net |
| Catherine Lund, D.V.M., Regular Member 9/2021 9/2024 | | | | | Vete | erinaria | เท | | info@city-kit | ty.com |
| Tina Regan, Regular Member 9/2022 9/2025 | | | | | Prese | rvatloi | nist | ralnymorn@msn.com | | |
| Victoria Wilson-Barnes, Regular Member | 9/2021 | 9/; | 2024 | | Аг | chitect | | | vlclnprov@a | ol,com |
| | Victoria Wilson-Barnes, Regular Member 9/2021 9/2024 Architect vicinprov@aol.com | | | | | | | | | |

| 2. Meetings Held and Attendance; indicate total number of meetings held and complete attendance chart for each commissioner. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---|---|---|------------|------------|------------|---|------------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Check box for all months in | | П | | | | | | [[] | | | | | |
| which a meeting was held: | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Commissioner Name | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sept. | |
| | 2021 | 2021 | 2021 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | 2022 | |
| | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | *************************************** | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| See attached | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | × | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Notes: | | | | | | | | A | | \ <u></u> \ | <u> </u> | | |
| 1104001 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. State law requires that HD | C maatina | 100/100/14 | | | a Cannaka | us af Chat | N. Office | | | - 1 - | | | |
| meeting. Are all meeting min | | | | | | | | | | " (•) | Yes C | ON (| |
| | | | | ou poster | | | | | | | 103 | > 110 > | |
| 4. Total number of vacancles | during th | e year: | 2 | | 5. Wa | is each va | acancy fil | led within | n 90 days | , (() | Yes (|) No | |
| 4. Were vacancles filled by pr | ofessiona' | ls who | meet the | Professio | nal Quali | fication S | Standards | s in 36 CF | R 617 | 0 | Yes C |) _{No} | |
| 5. If vacancles were not filled | | 111 | vo City Co | uncil pos | ltions are | vacant ar | nd have n | ot been fi | lled. | | ······································ | | |
| days or were not filled by pro | | 3 | • | • | | | | | | | | | |
| meeting the Professional Qua Standards, please provide an | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| here: | explanati | OII | | | | | | | | | | : | |
| 6. Describe any relevant trair | ilnas | | • • | | 41 | | _ | • | | | | | |
| conferences, workshops, or F | | S or | Some informational meetings, trainings, conferences, or workshops related to historic preservation were attended by members and staff. Some have attended virtual conferences | | | | | | | | | | |
| sponsored trainings/events a | | y ar | and trainings, including the State Historic Conference and the National Trust Conference, | | | | | | | | | | |
| commission members or staf | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| the name of the event and th | |) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| of the member(s) who attend | ded. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| If you have suggestions for to | inice for | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| future HDC training to be offe | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| RIHPHC, please list/describe | | าร | | | | | | | | | | | |
| here as well. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CRITERION #3: Lo | ocal Gove | nment | s must ma | intain a | system fo | r the sur | vev and h | nventorv | of histori | c properf | les | | |
| 1. Has any survey work been | and the stable | | | | | | | | nave beer | | 1 | | |
| completed? | | (| → Yes | (•) | - 1 | eyed? | | | | | | | |
| 3. Has the RIHPHC had an opp | oortunity | 1 | \neg | | | | rvey wor | k recorde | d | $\overline{\bigcirc}$ | | $\overline{}$ | |
| to participate in the work? | | | ✓ Yes | | lo on R | IHPHC fo | rms? | | | U Yes | s (| J No. | |
| 5. Did the RIHPHC receive duplicate forms, maps, and photographs within sixty days of completion? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| CRITERION #4: Local Governments must satisfactorily perform the responsibilities delegated to them under the National Historic Preservation Act. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|--|--|----------|------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Did you evaluate the National Register eligibility of any properties? | • Yes | ONO | 2. Did you prepare any National Register forms? | C |) _{Yes} | ● No | | | | | | |
| 3. Did you review and comment on any National Register nominations sent to you by the RIHPHC? Yes No | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. List any grants-in-aid projects completed or currently in progress. Briefly describe the status: | Neutaconkanut | 21-CLG-6 Diversifying the Guide to Providence Architecture was closed. 23-CLG-05 Neutaconkanut Hill National Register Nomination was awarded and has begun. This grant is a "pass-through" and is being administered by the sub-grantee, the Neutaconkanut Hill Conservancy. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | | rticipation in local historic preservation of the street of the sectional Register. | n progra | ıms, includ | ling the | | | | | | |
| 1. Are all records including meeting minutes publicly accessible? | • Yes | ONO | 2. Are notices of public meetings put or posted in advance? | olished | Yes | O No | | | | | | |
| 3. Briefly describe how the public is given opportunity to comment on National Register nominations: | and noticed on | the Secretary | ster Nominations are scheduled on regu of State's and the City's websites, and Westminster Street. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ARRATIVE: | | | | | | | | | |
| ······································ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Provide a brief narrative of the HDC's notable activities or accomplishments for FFY 2022. Providence has eight Local Historic Districts, containing a total of approximately 2,600 properties. This CLG years the Commission and its staff have reviewed 158 applications (55 by the Commission, 107 by Staff). Meetings are a "hybrid" with the Commission Members and staff being present (Member Regan was granted a waiver by the RI Governor's Commission on Disabilities to attend meetings remotely, and continues to do so). Applicants and the public have the option to be physically present or to participate via Zoom. Staff reports and related materials for agenda items are available on the Commission's website. There is also an archive of past meeting's materials. Certified Local Government funding: 21-CLG-5 Survey of Neutaconkanut Hill Park Survey and National Register Evaluation & 21-CLG-6 Diversifying the Guide to Providence Architecture. Both grants are "pass-throughs" and being administered by the sub-grantees, Neutaconkanut Hill Conservancy and the Providence Preservation Society, respectively. 21-CLG-5 has been finalized. 21-CLG-6 is ongoing. The Planning Department has been working with the State of RI Division of Capital Asset Management & Maintenance in the adaptive reuse of the Cranston Street Armory. As the Director's representative, the Preservation Planner sits on the Armory Steering Committee and the Technical Review Committee. In the past CLG year the Clty's Preservation Planner has conducted 144 environmental reviews in coordination with a Memorandum of Agreement with the RI Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission, allowing for Federally funded projects to be efficiently reviewed and approved. The Clty's Preservation Planner has coordinated multiple projects at Clty-owned properties with the RI Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission, particularly with the Parks Department, primarily in Roger Williams Park, Ilsted on the National Register of Historic Places. The Planning Departme | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Assurances | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|-------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | I hereby certify: | | | | | | | | | |
| I. | | lon meetings have been announced and meet the requirements of the learner of the state of Rhode Island. | he Op | en Meetings Law, | | | | | | |
| II. | | | | | | | | | | |
| []]. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 774 | | · | | | | | | | | |
| Signature | of Historic District Chairman | Flynn Showet? | Date | December 5, 2023 | | | | | | |
| Printed/ty | /ped name | Ryan Haggerty, Chairman | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Signature | of Chief Elected Official | | ate | 12/6/2013 | | | | | | |
| Printed/ty | yped name | Hon. Brett P. Smiley, Mayor | | | | | | | | |

ATTACHMENTS CHECKLIST

Check to confirm required materials are provided <u>electronically</u> with this report:

| V | Attachment A: Sample approval letter to an applicant. |
|---|--|
| | Attachment B: Resumes for any new commission members. |
| ~ | Any additional attachments required per answers on page 1 of this report; e.g. maps of amended districts, lists of newly designated properties, etc. |



Providence Historic District Commission

Jorge O. Elorza
Mayor

December 23, 2022

APPLICANTS/OWNERS Michael & Jennifer Gazdacko 78 Harrison Street Providence, RI 02909

RESOLUTION 22-47 Application 22.074

WHEREAS, the applicant, Michael & Jennifer Gazdacko, applied to the Providence Historic District Commission for a Certificate of Appropriateness for New Construction at 84 Harrison Street, Plat 32, Lot 262, and,

WHEREAS, the Commission held a properly noticed Regular Meeting on the matter on December 19, 2022, with the following members present: Marino, Sanderson, Schoettle, deBoer, Fontecchio, Lund, Kaplan, Wilson-Barnes; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Gienn Buie, designer/builder, representing the applicant, appeared before the Commission for the scheduled item; and

WHEREAS, the Commission members individually viewed the site which is the subject of the application; and,

WHEREAS, based upon the evidence presented, the Commission made the following findings of fact:

- 1. 84 Harrison Street is currently a vacant lot within the Armory local historic district.
- 2. The application for New Construction is considered complete.
- 3. At the August 22, 2022 meeting the Commission granted conceptual approval (Res 22-34).
- 4. The work as proposed consists of New Construction and includes the construction of a two-family residence.

- 5. The alterations are congruous with the structure, its appurtenances, and the surrounding historic district.
- 6. The work as submitted is in accord with PHDC Standard 8 as follows: the proposed construction is architecturally and historically compatible with the property and district having an appropriate size, scale and form that will not have an adverse effect on the property or district.
- 7. The Commission accepts and agrees with the findings in the Staff Report.

WHEREAS, based upon the above findings of fact, the Commission determined that the New Construction as submitted by the Applicant is appropriate. Upon motion made by Mr. Schoettle, seconded by Mr. Fontecchio, the Commission voted unanimously (8 to 0) to grant final approval of the proposal as submitted having determined that the alterations are appropriate as the alterations are architecturally and historically compatible with the property and district having an appropriate size, scale and form that will not have an adverse effect on the property or district, citing and accepting the recommendations in the staff report, with staff to review any additional details.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the application for New Construction as described in the above findings of fact IS APPROVED. Parties wishing to appeal a decision made by the PHDC have 20 days from the date of the resolution to file an appeal with the Zoning Board of Review.

Michael S. Marino

Chair

PROJECT SUMMARIES - OCTOBER 1, 2022 through SEPTEMBER 30, 2023

Summary of Projects*

Total Applications Reviewed:

149

Applications Reviewed by HDC:

49

(Alterations, Demolition, New Construction, Relocation)

Applications Reviewed by Staff:

100

(Repairs, Replacements in Kind, Restorations, Minor Alterations)

Breakdown by Project Type

| Project Type | Totals | Approved | Denied | Pending ¹ | Withdrawn | Appealed |
|-----------------------|--------|----------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| In-House ² | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alterations | 44 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Demolitions | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Construction | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Relocations | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS* | 149 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |

As part of the Memorandum of Agreement with the RIHPHC, the staff reviewed approximately 144 Federally subsidized projects in the City. A sample approval letter has been attached.

^{*}Some applications might cover two types (i.e.: repairs & sign). This would account for any discrepancy in the number of applications by type and number of total applications reviewed. There are no such discrepancies this period. There were five projects of this type during this reporting period.

Pending includes applications that are either incomplete or that are complete and the review is ongoing by either the Commission or Staff.

² In-House projects include repairs, replacement in kind, awnings, signs, storm windows, fences and gates, site improvements, mechanical equipment, shutters and blinds, roofs and gutter systems, and other minor alterations. All in-house projects are reviewed by Staff; no public meeting is required.

PROJECT SUMMARIES - OCTOBER 1, 2022 through SEPTEMBER 30, 2023

Breakdown by District

| District | Totals | Approved | Denied | Pending | Withdrawn | Appealed |
|-------------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|----------|
| Armory | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Armory (expansion) | 21 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Broadway | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| College Hill | 71 | 67 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| North Elmwood | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Power-Cooke | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PLD ¹ - ICBD | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -0 | 0 |
| PLD - Residential | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| South Elmwood | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stimson Avenue | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 149 | 143 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |

Total applications compared from October, 1996-September, 2023:

| Total applications co | <u>Totals</u> | PHDC Reviewed | Staff Reviewed |
|-----------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| 1996-1997 | 220 | 64 (0) ² [29%] ³ | 156 (0) [71%] |
| 1997-1998 | 186 | 53 (0) [28%] | 133 (0) [72%] |
| 1998-1999 | 17 6 | 64 (0) [36%] | 112 (0) [64%] |
| 1999-2000 | 203 | 67 (9) [33%] | 145 (9) [67%] |
| 2000-2001 | 191 | 65 (0) [34%] | 126 (0) [66%] |
| 2001-2002 | 213 | 58 (0) [27%] | 155 (0) [73%] |
| 2002-2003 | 181 | 51 (8) [24%] | 138 (8) [76%] |
| 2003-2004 | 183 | 54 (5) [27%] | 133 (5) [73%] |
| 2004-2005 | 204 | 50 (6) [27%] | 148 (6) [73%] |
| 2005-2006 | 186 | 37 (7) [20%] | 142 (7) [80%] |
| 2006-2007 | 197 | 38 (4) [20%] | 153 (4) [80%] |
| 2007-2008 | 163 | 28 (3) [20%] | 132 (3) [80%] |
| 2008-2009 | 135 | 26 (2) [19%] | 109 (2) [81%] |
| 2009-2010 | 168 | 31 (7) [18%] | 137 (7) [82%] |
| 2010-2011 | 148 | 25 (4) [17%] | 123 (4) [83%] |
| 2011-2012 | 158 | 41 (1) [26%] | 118 (1) [74%] |
| 2012-2013 | 149 | 37 (0) [25%] | 112 (0) [75%] |
| 2013-2014 | 153 | 31 (2) [20%] | 124 (2) [80%] |
| 2014-2015 | 145 | 29 (2) [20%] | 118 (2) [80%] |
| 2015-2016 | 143 | 28 (3) [20%] | 115 (3) [80%] |
| 2016-2017 | 159 | 43 (3) [27%] | 116 (3) [73%] |
| 2017-2018 | 152 | 36 (0) [24%] | 117 (0) [76%] |
| 2018-2019 | 164 | 38 (1) [23%] | 128 (1) [77%] |
| 2019-2020 | 144 | 40 (1) [28%] | 104 (1) [72%] |
| 2020-2021 | 152 | 45 [30%] | 107 [70%] |
| 2021-2022 | 157 | 55 [32%] | 107 [68%] |
| 2022-2023 | 149 | 49 [32%] | 100 [68%] |
| 27 year total | 4579 | 1194 [26%] | 3408 [74%] |
| 27 year average | 1690 | 44 [25%] | 127 [75%] |

¹ The Providence Landmark District (PLD) was created by Ordinance in Dec. 2014. The PLD is an expansion of the non-contiguous district concept created by the establishment of the Industrial & Commercial

Buildings District in 2002. The PLD is divided into two classes: Residential and industrial & Commercial Buildings.

Indicates number of applications which have multiple components that are reviewed by the PHDC and Staff separately (i.e.: New Construction (PHDC) & Site Improvements (Staff)). There were no such applications this period.

Represents percentage of total applications reviewed by PHDC or Staff.



MEMORANDUM

projects in Providence.

| Date: | November 22, 2022 | | | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| То: | AnnMarie Scofield, Compliance Officer | | | | | | |
| From: | Jason Martin, Principal Planner | | | | | | |
| Subject: | ect: 231 Amherst Street, AP 63, Lot 142, Joslin Community Center | | | | | | |
| listed on the | t Street, AP 63, Lot 142, former schoolhouse, 1886, is an historic resource, being individually National Register of Historic Places. The proposal to replace the existing boiler is an exempt as such, no historic property is affected. No further review is needed. | | | | | | |
| X | No historic property affected | | | | | | |
| | No adverse effect on historic resources | | | | | | |
| | Potentially adverse effect | | | | | | |
| | nents are in accordance with the Memorandum of Agreement between the RIHPHC and providence allowing for the review of environmental reviews for Federally-subsidized | | | | | | |

PHDC Attendance 10/2022-9-2023

| | | | | | ω | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---|--------------|----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Sep 18,5e | 5 | | ~ | 0 | ~ | Ama | ~ | ζ | 0 | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| Aug | 2 1 | ~ | - | 0 | _ | ~ | 0 | ~ | - | <i>K</i> | ~ | ~ |
| Jul 24-111 | 0 | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 0 | | Υ | $\overline{}$ |
| Jun 26-liin | <u> </u> | 0 | ~ | ~ | 0 | ~ | 0 | τ- | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| | | | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| Apr 24-Apr | <u>-</u> | 0 | ~ | 0 | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | 4 | τ | ~ | - |
| Mar 27-Mar | <u></u> | ~ | ~ | 0 | τ | ~ | 0 | 0 | 0 | | ~ | ~ |
| Feb 27_Feb | 0 | | ~ | 0 | 0 | ~ | - Anna | ~ | ~ | ← | ~ | house |
| Jan 23- Jan | 1 | ~ | ~ | 0 | ~ | ~ | 0 | / | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ |
| Dec 19-Dec | <u> </u> | ~ | ~ | 0 | ₩. | A | $\overline{}$ | ~ | \leftarrow | 0 | | ~ |
| 0ct | 0 | ~ | 0 | ~ | / | ~ | ~ | 0 | ~ | ~ | | \leftarrow |
| | G F | SS | CdB | 圧 | × | ËS | 겅 | MM | VwB | RE | M | rs |